



CREATIVE **PLANNING** SOLUTIONS

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Demolition of Existing Structures and Construction of a Seniors Development comprising of three (3) Double Storey Buildings, Carparking Area and Associated Works

26 Rose Crescent & 69-73 Bourke Street,
North Parramatta NSW 2151

Prepared for: Barry Rush & Associates Pty Ltd
Suite 25a, 2 Beattie Street
BALMAIN NSW 2041
02 9555 8028

Prepared by: Greg Tesoriero
Dip. Horticulture (Arboriculture) AQF Level 5
Registered Consulting Arborist No. 3008
QTRA No. 6291
B.LArch (Hons)

LGA: City of Parramatta Council

Date: 10th June 2022

Revision: C

Job No: E314



CREATIVE PLANNING SOLUTIONS PTY LIMITED

Level 3, 397 Riley Street, Surry Hills NSW 2010 – PO Box 1074 Broadway NSW 2007

+61 2 8039 7461 | info@cpsplanning.com.au | www.cpsplanning.com.au | ABN: 70 135 093 926

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2	INTRODUCTION	5
2.1	Background.....	5
2.2	Objectives	5
2.3	Legislation & Regulating Documents.....	5
2.4	Documentation Received	6
2.5	The Site.....	6
2.6	Proposed Development	6
2.7	Limitations.....	6
3	METHOD	8
3.1	Method	8
4	OBSERVATIONS.....	10
4.1	General.....	10
4.2	Tree Preservation Order.....	10
4.3	The Trees	10
5	DISCUSSION	12
5.1	Impact Assessment	12
5.2	Trees Recommended for Removal	12
5.3	Trees Recommended for Retention & Protection	15
5.4	Ancillary Construction Related Impacts	17
6	CONCLUSION	18
6.1	Proposed Development Impact	18
7	RECOMMENDATIONS	19
7.1	Tree Removal	19
7.2	Tree Retention & Protection.....	19
8	REFERENCES	21

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 - TREE ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

APPENDIX 2 - TREE LOCATION PLAN

APPENDIX 3 - IACA SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM (STARS)

APPENDIX 4 - EXTRACT FROM AS4970-2009 PROTECTION OF TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

APPENDIX 5 - GENERAL TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

APPENDIX 6 - TYPICAL TREE PROTECTION DETAILS

DISCLAIMER

The Client acknowledges this Report, and any opinions, advice or recommendations expressed or given in it, are based on the information supplied by the Client and on the data, inspections, measurements and analysis carried out or obtained by CPS and referred to in the Report. No guarantee is implied with respect to future tree safety. The Client should rely on the Report and its contents, only to that extent.

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) was commissioned by Barry Rush & Associates on the 14th of February 2022. The report relates to forty-eight (48) trees located on and adjoining the subject site at 26 Rose Crescent & 69-73 Bourke Street, North Parramatta within the City of Parramatta Council local government area (LGA).

The report provides an evaluation of the likely impact to existing trees (within the subject site, adjoining the site within 5m of the boundaries and within Council street verge areas) as a result of the proposed mixed use building development including basement parking and associated works.

A summary of those trees identified has been provided in **Table 1** below along with a description of their location, retention values and nominated retention/removal status under the proposal.

Table 1 – Tree assessment summary

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Site Tree	Medium	Retain & Protect
2	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
3	<i>Ceratopetalum gummiferum</i> NSW Xmas Bush	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect
4	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect
5	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect
6	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i> Illawarra Flame Tree	No. 75 Bourke Street	Medium	Retain & Protect
7	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect
8	<i>Pittosporum undulatum</i> Sweet Pittosporum	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect
9	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coast Banksia	No. 75 Bourke Street	Medium	Retain & Protect
10	<i>Eucalyptus paniculata</i> Grey Ironbark	No. 75 Bourke Street	High	Retain & Protect
11	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	No. 75 Bourke Street	Low	Retain & Protect

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
12	<i>Eucalyptus pilularis</i> Blackbutt	Site Tree	High	Retain & Protect
13	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Site Tree	Medium	Remove Exempt – location
14	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Site Tree	Medium	Remove Exempt – location
15	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
16	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
17	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
18	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
19	<i>Schefflera actinophylla</i> Umbrella Tree	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
20	<i>Citrus limon</i> Lemon	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
21	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
22	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	No. 24 Rose Crescent	Low	Retain & Protect
23	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
24	<i>Dracaena marginata</i> Dragon Tree	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
25	<i>Cupressus sp.</i> Cypress	No. 24 Rose Crescent	Low	Retain & Protect
26	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
27	<i>Camellia sasanqua</i> Camellia	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
28	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt – location

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
29	<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i> Poinsettia	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
30	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Retain & Protect
31	<i>Plumeria rubra var. acutifolia</i> Frangipani	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt – location
32	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
33	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt – location
34	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
35	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
36	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
37	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
38	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
39	<i>Unknown species</i> -	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
40	<i>Unknown species</i> -	No. 63 Jeffery Avenue	Low	Retain & Protect
41	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
42	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
43	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
44	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt – location
45	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Location	Retention Value	Retain / Remove
46	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt – location
47	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size
48	<i>Callistemon viminalis</i> Weeping Bottlebrush	Site Tree	Low	Remove Exempt - size

Based on the plans supplied and should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that nineteen (19) trees be removed (**Trees 13, 14, 17, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 & 48**) and twenty-nine (29) trees be retained and protected (**Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 37, 39 & 40**)

It shall be noted that all nineteen (19) trees nominated for removal are classified as exempt from protection under Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation* of the Parramatta Development Control Plan (DCP) 2011 due to species or size classification or being located within 3 metres of a legally constructed building.

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate any potential negative impacts to retained trees.

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) was commissioned by Barry Rush & Associates on the 14th of February 2022 to evaluate the potential impacts that proposed development works will have on existing trees located on and adjacent to the subject site at 26 Rose Crescent & 69-73 Bourke Street, North Parramatta (refer to **Figure 1**).

Accordingly, the purpose of this report is to assess the potential impact of the proposed development on the subject trees, as well as provide recommendations for further amendments to the design or construction methodology where necessary to minimise any adverse impact. The report also provides recommended tree protection measures to ensure the long-term preservation of the trees to be retained where appropriate.

2.2 Objectives

This report has been prepared to assess the level of impact development works are likely to cause to existing trees and make a determination as to whether trees will be adversely affected. The report will provide guidance as to those trees requiring removal, retention or protection in accordance with the provisions of AS4970-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites*. Where necessary, it will also provide recommendations for design modifications and any replacement planting. As such, the objectives of this report are as follows:

- Assess the current site and growing conditions of trees;
- Assess the current health, condition, lifespan & significance of the trees within the site;
- Identify relative retention values of trees within the site;
- Calculate anticipated encroachment levels resulting from proposed works;
- Determine the likely impact as a result of the calculated encroachments;
- Assess potential for retention and protection of trees where possible;
- Advise any design modifications necessary to retain important trees;
- Recommend tree and root sensitive design and construction methodologies to mitigate impacts to trees to be retained;
- Inform of any tree removal necessary due to unsustainable impacts;
- Provide guidance and recommendations for any replacement planting necessary.

No aerial inspection, root mapping or internal diagnostic testing have been carried out as part of this report. Additionally, no cation exchange capacity testing or plant tissue analysis has been undertaken.

2.3 Legislation & Regulating Documents

This Arboricultural Impact Assessment has considered the following regulatory documents:

- *State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017*
- *Parramatta Local Environmental Plan 2011 (Parramatta LEP 2012)*
- *Parramatta Development Control Plan 2011 (Parramatta DCP 2011)*
- *Greater Sydney Regional Strategic Weed Management Plan 2017-2022 (GSRSWMP)*

2.4 Documentation Received

The following documents were received and have been relied upon for this Assessment:

Table 2 – Documentation received and reviewed as part of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Document Description	Author	Revision No. / Date
Site/ground Floor Plan	Barry Rush & Associates P/L	- / 2 nd May 2022
Landscape Plans	Greenland Design	C / 21 st March 2022
Stormwater Plans	ACOR Consultants (CC) P/L	A / 7 th February 2022
Detail Survey	Total Surveying Solutions	1 / 5 th May 2021

Note: care has been taken to obtain all information from reliable sources; however, the author makes no representations, guarantees or warranties as to the accuracy of information provided by others. No other information has been reviewed, should this become available impacts may be subject to change.

2.5 The Site

The site is known as 26 Rose Crescent & 69-73 Bourke Street, North Parramatta and is legally described as Lot 2 in DP 215342 (the site). The site is located to the northeast side of Rose Crescent and south of Bourke Street. The site currently contains three (3) single storey buildings accommodating thirteen (13) units with open landscaped garden areas. An existing 1.83 metre wide easement to drain water is located along the eastern boundary. (Refer to **Figure 1** below).

2.6 Proposed Development

The proposed development is for the demolition of the existing structures and construction of a new Seniors Development comprising of three (3) double storey buildings, carparking area and associated works. Specifically, those works considered likely to impact the existing trees on and adjoining the subject site include proposed location of building footprints, driveway, pathways and carparking area.

2.7 Limitations

Trees are living organisms whose health and condition can change rapidly. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are valid for one (1) year only from the date of the report, unless otherwise stated. Any changes to the site as it stands at present, for example building extensions, excavation works, importing of soils, extreme weather events etc. will invalidate this report. Any reproduction of this report must be in full colour using the report in its entirety.




Figure 1 – Aerial image indicating subject site (highlighted blue) Source: Nearmap 2022




Figure 2 – Site/Ground Floor Plan extract showing the proposed layout of the development

3 METHOD

3.1 Method

3.1.1 Site Inspection

A site inspection was carried out by the author with the subject trees and the general growing environment evaluated on the 5th of July 2021. The weather at the time of inspections was sunny and dry with good visibility.

The subject trees were inspected visually from ground level with the following information recorded and provided in tabulated form at **Appendix 1**:

- Tree Species (Botanical & Common Name);
- Approximate height;
- Approximate canopy spread;
- Trunk Diameter (measured at 1.4 metres from ground level);
- Trunk Diameter at base (above root crown);
- Age class;
- Health & vigour; using foliage size, colour, extension growth, presence of disease or pest infestation, canopy density, presence of deadwood, dieback and epicormic growth as indicators;
- Condition; using visible evidence of structural defects, instability, evidence of previous pruning and physical damage as indicators;
- Suitability of the tree to the site and its existing location;
- Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE).

3.1.2 Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE)

The remaining Safe Useful Life Expectancy of a tree is an estimate of the sustainability of the tree in the landscape, calculated based on an estimate of the average age of the species in an urban area, less its estimated current age. The life expectancy of each tree has been further modified where necessary in consideration of its current health, vigour, condition and suitability to the site. The estimated SULE of each tree is shown in **Appendix 1**.

The following ranges have been allocated to each tree:

- Long SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for > 40 years.
- Medium SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 15 to 40 years.
- Short SULE: Trees that appear to be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 5–15 years.
- Remove: Trees with a high level of risk that would need removing within the next 5 years.
- Small, Young or Regularly Pruned.

3.1.3 Landscape Significance

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. Several factors contribute towards the assessment of a tree's significance including but not limited to condition and vigour, form, visual prominence, heritage status, indigeneity, legislative protection, cultural sentiment and future growth potential.

For the purposes of this report the Australian Institute of Consulting Arborists (IACA) Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)® has been utilised. The system uses a scale of High, Medium and Low significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined.

Appendix 3 provides a full outline of assessment criteria for each significance rating as per IACA STARS (2010).

3.1.4 Retention Value

Retention values have been determined for each tree on site to establish a hierarchy for tree retention. Retention values are based on estimated life spans and their associated landscape significance rating in accordance with the Tree Retention Value Priority Matrix. This matrix established the following retention values and can be found at **Appendix 3** with attributed retention values found within **Appendix 1**:

- Priority for Retention (High)
- Consider for Retention (Medium)
- Consider for Removal (Low)
- Priority for Removal

3.1.5 AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites

The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009-*'Protection of trees on development sites'*, has been used as a guide to provide recommendations for the assessed trees. The Standard provides guidance on the principles for protecting trees on land subject to development as well as principles for determining viability of tree retention. Terminology and recommended methods are consistent with AS4970-2009.

3.1.6 Tree Protection Zones

The assessed trees have been allocated Tree Protection Zones (TPZ). The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009-*'Protection of trees on development sites'*, has been used as a guide in the allocation of TPZs for the assessed trees. The TPZ is calculated based on trunk (stem) diameter at breast height (DBH), measured at 1.4 metres above ground level. The radius of the TPZ is calculated by multiplying the trees DBH by 12. The method provides a TPZ that addresses health and growing requirements of a tree as well as the trees stability. TPZ distances are measured as a radius from the centre of the trunk at (or near) ground level. The maximum TPZ should be no more than 15m radius and the minimum TPZ should be no less than 2m radius.

An extract of the AS4970-2009 for calculating TPZ has been provided at **Appendix 4** for reference.

3.1.7 Structural Root Zone

The assessed trees have been allocated Structural Root Zones (SRZ). The Australian Standard, AS4970-2009 - *'Protection of trees on development sites'*, has been used as a guide in the allocation of SRZ's for the assessed trees. The SRZ is a radial area extending outwards from the centre of the trunk and is calculated as follows:

$$\text{SRZ (Radius)} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

4 OBSERVATIONS

4.1 General

The site area subject to this assessment was observed as highly disturbed with negligible understorey present. Species observed varied including native and locally indigenous species. All trees appear to be planted specimens with no remnant trees likely to represent any of those identified. Health, vigour and condition was varied across the trees forming part of the assessment. Root zones of assessed trees were generally observed to be within deep soil areas. No heritage listed trees were identified on site, nor were any species observed that are listed as vulnerable, endangered or critically endangered. Similarly, no endangered or critically endangered ecological communities were observed.

4.2 Tree Preservation Order

Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation* of the Parramatta DCP 2011 applies to all areas within the former City of Parramatta LGA. The provisions included within Parramatta DCP 2011 generally protect any tree or vegetation that corresponds with any one or more of the following criteria:

1. Any tree or palm – whether indigenous, endemic, exotic or introduced species with a height equal to or exceeding 5 metres.
2. Any tree or mangrove vegetation located on public land, irrespective of size.
3. Any tree or plant, irrespective of size:
 - a. That is listed in a Register of Significant Trees; or
 - b. That is or forms part of a heritage item, or that is within a heritage conservation area; or
 - c. That is or forms part of an Aboriginal object, or that is within an Aboriginal place of heritage significance.

4.3 The Trees

A total of forty-eight (48) trees were observed within and adjoining the subject site which have been surveyed as part of this assessment. All tree data recorded on site has been tabulated and is contained at **Appendix 1**. Each tree has been provided with an identification number for reference purposes and is denoted on the attached Tree Location Plan at **Appendix 2**.

Thirty-one (31) of the trees observed fell within the boundaries of the subject site.

Trees 1, 2 & 12 (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*, *Cinnamomum camphora* & *Eucalyptus pilularis*) are located within the subject site and adjacent to the boundary of the adjoining allotment of No. 75 Bourke Street. These trees were observed to be in good/fair health and condition and were assessed to hold Medium landscape significance and Medium/Long SULEs.

Trees 13 & 14 (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*) are located in a garden bed adjacent to one of the existing buildings within the subject site. These trees were observed to be in average health and condition and were assessed to hold Medium landscape significance and Medium SULEs

Twenty-six (26) trees (**Trees 15, 16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 21, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43 & 44**) are either exempt from protection under provisions of Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or*

Vegetation of the Parramatta DCP 2011 or have been assessed to hold Low landscape significance and are considered Low in retention value.

Seventeen (17) of the trees observed fell within neighbouring allotments.

Trees 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11 are located in the neighbouring allotment at 75 Bourke Street adjoining the boundary to the subject site. These trees varied in health and condition and were assessed to hold Low landscape significance with the exception of **Trees 6, 9 & 10** (*Brachychiton acerifolius*, *Banksia integrifolia* & *Eucalyptus paniculata*) which were assessed to hold Medium landscape significance.

Trees 19, 20, 21, 37, 39 & 40 are located in the neighbouring allotment at 63 Jeffery Avenue adjoining the boundary to the subject site. Trees were observed to be in fair/average health and condition and were assessed to hold Low landscape significance due to being semi-mature specimens and providing minor amenity to the local area.

Trees 22 & 25 are located in the neighbouring allotment at 24 Rose Crescent adjoining the boundary to the subject site. Trees were observed to be in good/fair health and condition and were assessed to hold Low landscape significance due to species, fair health and condition and providing minor amenity to the local area.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Impact Assessment

The impact assessment is to calculate the incursions to the root zones and canopies as a result of the proposed demolition and construction works and evaluate the likely impact of the proposed works on the subject trees. A summary of the impacts anticipated is contained within the Tree Schedule at **Appendix 1**. Additionally, plans demonstrating the level of incursion and conflict to TPZ's and SRZ's can be found at **Appendix 2**. As part of the assessment the following criteria have been considered:

- Existing Relative Levels (R.L.);
- Proposed Relative Levels;
- Tree Protection Zones (TPZ);
- Structural Root Zones (SRZ);
- Footprint of the proposed development (incl. stormwater and services) and temporary structures (scaffolding, hoardings etc.);
- Incursions to the TPZ & SRZ, including estimated cut & fill beyond the building footprint;
- Incursions to the tree canopy from the building envelope and temporary structures;
- Pruning necessary for building clearance;
- Remediation works for soil contaminants;
- Species tolerance to disturbance; and
- Assessment of the likely impact of the works on existing trees.

5.2 Trees Recommended for Removal

Should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that nineteen (19) trees be removed. This includes **Trees 13, 14, 17, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 & 48**. Removals have been recommended based upon;

- Trees being located within the proposed building footprint, driveway, carpark, or pathways;
- Unsustainable encroachment into the TPZ and SRZ due to the proposed building footprints, driveway and pathways.
- Tree in poor condition with Short SULE requiring removal to facilitate new landscape scheme.

It is noted that all nineteen (19) trees (**Trees 13, 14, 17, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 & 48**) are exempt from protection under provisions of Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation* of the Parramatta DCP 2011 due to being located within 3m of a legally constructed building or size classification.

Refer to **Appendix 2** for a plan indicating the location of trees that will require removal (dashed red).

Table 3 – Trees recommended for removal

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Reason for Removal
13	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Medium	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
14	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Medium	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
17	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed pathway footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
28	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
31	<i>Plumeria rubra var. acutifolia</i> Frangipani	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
32	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed carpark footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
33	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed carpark footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
34	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed carpark footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
35	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed carpark footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
36	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Major 34.25% TPZ + 28.5% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
38	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed driveway footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
41	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Major 30.25% TPZ + 26.5% SRZ incursion due to proposed dwelling and driveway. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
42	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed driveway footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Reason for Removal
43	Unknown species -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed driveway footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
44	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
45	Unknown species -	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
46	Cinnamomum camphora Camphor Laurel	Low	Full encroachment. Within proposed building footprint. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to proximity to a building.
47	Unknown species -	Low	Major 60.5% TPZ + 64% SRZ incursion due to proposed building footprint and paving. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.
48	Unknown species -	Low	Major 50% TPZ + 40% SRZ incursion due to proposed paving and stairs. Exempt from protection under provisions of Parramatta DCP 2011 due to size classification.

5.3 Trees Recommended for Retention & Protection

Should the proposed works proceed in their current form, it is recommended that twenty-nine (29) trees be retained and protected given the proposed works are unlikely to result in any significant negative impacts to their long-term health and viability subject to detail protection and Arborist supervision. This includes **Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 37, 39 & 40.**

Tree 1 (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*) was observed to be in good/average health and condition and was assessed to hold medium retention value. This tree has been nominated for retention despite major TPZ (16.75%) + SRZ (7.91%) encroachment as per AS4097-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* due to the proposed building footprint and pavers on compacted fill. While existing structures and hard paved surfaces are likely to have restricted root development west into the site of re-development, specific recommendations for root-mapping investigations have been made to reduce potential impacts to this tree. A review of potential impacts to the canopy from the building reveal that the canopy of the tree will be in minor conflict with the proposed built form. In this regard, recommendations have been made for canopy pruning in **Section 7** below.

Trees 19, 20, 25, 39 & 40 are located within adjoining allotments at No. 24 Rose Crescent and No. 63 Jeffery Avenue and have been nominated for retention despite major TPZ + SRZ encroachment as per AS4097-2009 *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* due to the proposed driveway footprints. These trees were assessed to hold low landscape significance due to being semi-mature specimens in fair health and condition and providing minor amenity to the local area. Impacts are considered sustainable due to the age class and size of these trees as it is unlikely there will be significant and established roots within the full extent of the respective Tree Protection Zones. In addition, specific recommendations for root-sensitive excavation techniques have been made to reduce potential impacts to these trees.

Tree 1 is located within existing easement to drain water along the eastern boundary while **Trees 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 & 12** are located in proximity with their respective TPZs and SRZ intersecting the easement. It is understood that no works are proposed within the existing easement and therefore it is unlikely that there will be any additional impacts to trees to be retained.

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate the potential negative impacts to significant trees.

Refer to **Appendix 2** for a plan indicating the location of trees that are to be retained and protected (shaded green).

Table 4 – Trees recommended for retention & protection

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	Medium	Major 16.75% TPZ + 7.91% SRZ incursion due to proposed building footprint and paving.

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
2	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
3	<i>Ceratopetalum gummiferum</i> NSW Xmas Bush	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
4	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
5	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
6	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i> Illawarra Flame Tree	Medium	Minor 5.45% TPZ incursion due to proposed building footprint and paving.
7	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
8	<i>Pittosporum undulatum</i> Sweet Pittosporum	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
9	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coast Banksia	Medium	No works proposed within the TPZ.
10	<i>Eucalyptus paniculata</i> Grey Ironbark	High	Minor 7% TPZ incursion due to proposed paving.
11	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
12	<i>Eucalyptus pilularis</i> Blackbutt	High	Minor 4.5% TPZ incursion due to proposed paving.
15	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Low	Minor 4% TPZ incursion due to proposed path.
16	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Low	Minor 1.5% TPZ incursion due to proposed stormwater infrastructure.
18	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	Low	Minor 2.5% TPZ incursion due to proposed fence/wall and stormwater infrastructure.
19	<i>Schefflera actinophylla</i> Umbrella Tree	Low	Major 21.5% TPZ + 12% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.
20	<i>Citrus limon</i> Lemon	Low	Major 16% TPZ + 7% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.
21	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	Low	Minor 6.75% TPZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.

Tree No.	Genus & Species	Retention Value	Works within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
22	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
23	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	Low	Minor 5% TPZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.
24	<i>Dracaena marginata</i> Dragon Tree	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
25	<i>Cupressus sp.</i> Cypress	Low	Major 17% TPZ + 4% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.
26	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	Low	Minor (2.15%) TPZ incursion from proposed path / wall
27	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Minor 7% TPZ + 5.4% SRZ incursion due to proposed path / wall
29	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	No works proposed within TPZ.
30	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Major 10.35% TPZ + 6.5% SRZ incursion due to proposed paving.
37	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	Low	No works proposed within the TPZ.
39	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Major 12.5% TPZ + 3.5% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.
40	<i>Unknown species</i> -	Low	Major 16% TPZ + 7% SRZ incursion due to proposed driveway footprint.

5.4 Ancillary Construction Related Impacts

Vehicles, machinery and equipment requiring access to the site have potential to result in inadvertent impacts to those trees being retained including compaction of the root zone, soil disturbance, physical damage to roots, trunk damage etc. and as such will require management.

Furthermore, storage and stockpiling of material may result in similar impacts and will require management. In this regard, protection for those trees to be retained is to be carried out in accordance with **Appendix 5**.

6 CONCLUSION

6.1 Proposed Development Impact

Based on the plans and information supplied, the proposal would result in the following impacts to existing trees on site:

Removal of nineteen (19) trees¹, including:

- **Trees 13, 14, 17, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 & 48** due to being located within the proposed building footprints, driveways, carpark and pathways, or unsustainable encroachment into TPZs and SRZs from the proposed development.

Retention and protection of twenty-nine (29) trees, including:

- Twelve (12) site trees (**Trees 1, 2, 12, 15, 16, 18, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29 & 30**) and seventeen (17) neighbouring trees (**Trees 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 37, 39 & 40**), which are generally located away from the proposed construction works and are to have either nil incursion or sustainable (<20%) incursions to their respective Tree Protection Zones. Impacts are considered tolerable and unlikely to impact long term health and viability pending implementation of tree protection measures and Project Arborist supervision.

Specific recommendations as per **Section 7** will need to be adopted to ensure root sensitive construction techniques and methodology are employed which mitigate the potential negative impacts to trees nominated for retention.

¹ All nineteen (19) trees are exempt from protection under provisions of Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation* of the Parramatta DCP 2011 due to being located within 3m of a legally constructed building, species classification and/or size classification

7 RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 Tree Removal

Remove **Trees 13, 14, 17, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47 & 48** (19 trees) to facilitate the proposed development works.

Development consent and relevant approvals must be obtained from City of Parramatta Council prior to the removal or pruning of any tree protected under Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation* of the Parramatta DCP 2011.

All tree removal work is to be carried out by an experienced Arborist with minimum AQF Level 3 qualifications in accordance with AS4373-2007 - *Pruning of Amenity Trees*, Safe Work Australia Guide for Managing Risks of Tree Trimming and Removal Work (2016) and other applicable legislation.

7.2 Tree Retention & Protection

Retain and protect **Trees 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 37, 39 & 40** (29 trees) in accordance with the Tree Location Plan & Tree Protection Specifications held at **Appendix 2 & 5**, AS497-2009 *Protection of trees on development sites* and the specific recommendations below:

7.2.1 Project Arborist Engagement

A Project Arborist experienced in tree protection on construction sites should be engaged prior to the commencement of any works on site. The Project Arborist shall monitor and report regularly to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA) and the Applicant on the condition and protection of the retained trees during the works. The Project Arborist is to supervise and monitor any excavation, machine trenching or compacted fill placement within the TPZ of retained trees throughout construction.

7.2.2 Specific Tree Protection Measures

Tree Protection must be installed as shown on the Tree Location & Protection Plan Specification held at **Appendix 2** and in accordance with Section 4.3 of AS4970-2009 and **Appendix 5**. Tree protection must not be removed or altered without prior approval of the Project Arborist.

7.2.3 Root Mapping

A detailed root mapping investigation is to be undertaken for **Tree 1** (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*) which is likely to be impacted by the proposed building footprint and installation of pavement. Root mapping is to be undertaken by a suitably qualified Arborist with minimum AQF5 qualifications to identify the location and presence of tree roots to be impacted by the proposed building works and determine whether the proposed extent of hard surfacing requires modification to enable this tree to be retained in a healthy and viable condition following completion of construction.

7.2.4 Canopy Pruning

Pruning of **Tree 1** (*Jacaranda mimosifolia*) to achieve building clearance must be done under supervision of the Project Arborist and shall be carried out in accordance with Australian Standard 4373-2007 – Pruning of Amenity Trees. All pruning work shall be carried out by an experienced Arborist with minimum AQF Level 3 qualifications.

7.2.5 Excavation for Driveways

Excavation for the driveways within the TPZ of **Trees 19, 20, 25, 39 & 40** must be undertaken in a root sensitive manner to minimise root disturbance and severance. Initial excavation should be undertaken using non-motorised hand tools under the supervision of a Project Arborist. Should significant roots be identified (>40mmØ) during construction, works are to cease, and direction sought from the Project Arborist with regards to root pruning, modification of construction methodology or design alteration.

Should you have any queries in relation to the information presented, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,



Greg Tesoriero

PRINCIPAL CONSULTING ARBORIST

Dip. Hort. (Arboriculture) AQF Level 5

Registered Consulting Arborist No. 3008

QTRA No. 6291



8 REFERENCES

- City of Parramatta Council. Parramatta Development Control Plan 2011. Part 5.4 – *Preservation of Trees or Vegetation*
- Council of Standards Australia. 2009 AS 4970 – 2009 – *Protection of Trees on Development Sites* Standards Australia, Sydney.
- Council of Standards Australia. 2007 AS 4373 – 2007 – *Pruning of Amenity Trees* Standards Australia, Sydney.
- Google Australia. 2022. *Google Maps*. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://www.google.com.au/maps>. [Accessed June 2022].
- Mattheck, C 2007, *Updated Field Guide for Visual Tree Assessment*, 1st Ed., Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Germany
- Nearmap Australia. 2022, *Photo Maps*. [ONLINE] Available at: <https://maps.au.nearmap.com> [Accessed June 2022].
- NSW Government – Local Land Services. 2019, *Greater Sydney Regional Strategic Weed Management Plan 2017-2022*
- Slee, A.V., Brooker, M.I.H., Duffy, S.M. & West, J.G. 2006, *Euclid: Eucalypts of Australia*. 3rd ed. (CSIRO: Canberra.)

APPENDIX 1: TREE ASSESSMENT SCHEDULE

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	13	10	550			650	6.60	2.76	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Major (16.75%) TPZ + (7.91%) SRZ incursion from proposed dwelling and paving	Retain & Protect	Past pruning. Epicormic growth. >3m from building
2	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	7	6	300			350	3.60	2.13	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Past pruning. Canopy supressed under Jacaranda canopy. > 5m from building
3	<i>Ceratopetalum gummiferum</i> NSW Xmas Bush	5	3	200			250	2.40	1.85	M	Fair	Poor	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Suppressed sparce canopy. High amount small diameter deadwood
4	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	5	3	50	50	100	150	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Suppressed canopy from neighbouring tree
5	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	6	5	100	100	100	200	2.08	1.68	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Multi-stem from base
6	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i> Illawarra Flame Tree	10	7	400			500	4.80	2.47	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Minor (5.45%) TPZ incursion from proposed dwelling and paving	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Past pruning. Minor amount small diameter deadwood
7	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Broad-leaved Privet	6	3	100			100	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Epicormic growth
8	<i>Pittosporum undulatum</i> Sweet Pittosporum	8	3	150			200	2.00	1.68	M	Good	Good	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Past pruning
9	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coast Banksia	8	3	250			300	3.00	2.00	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree
10	<i>Eucalyptus paniculata</i> Grey Ironbark	12	6	250			300	3.00	2.00	M	Average	Good	Long 40yrs +	Medium	High	Major (7%) TPZ incursion from proposed paving	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Minor amount small diameter deadwood
11	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	5	3	50	50	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Multi-stem from base
12	<i>Eucalyptus pilularis</i> Blackbutt	18	7	350			400	4.20	2.25	M	Good	Fair	Long 40yrs +	Medium	High	Minor (4.5%) TPZ incursion from proposed paving	Retain & Protect	Powerline pruning. Epicormic growth
13	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	9	7	250			350	3.00	2.13	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Past Pruning. Epicormic growth. Within 3m of building
14	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> Jacaranda	9	6	150			200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Medium	Medium	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Past Pruning. Epicormic growth. Within 3m of building
15	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	6	4	50	50	50	250	2.00	1.85	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (4%) TPZ incursion from proposed path	Retain & Protect	Multi-stem from base. Past pruning. Epicormic growth
16	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	4	2	50	50	50	150	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (1.5%) TPZ incursion from proposed stormwater infrastructure	Retain & Protect	Multi-stem from base. Past pruning. Epicormic growth. Suppressed canopy from neighbouring tree
17	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	4	4	50	50	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed path	Remove	Multi-stem from base. Past pruning. Epicormic growth
18	<i>Photinia robusta</i> Photinia	7	6	50	50	50	300	2.00	2.00	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (2.5%) TPZ incursion from proposed fence / wall and stormwater infrastructure	Retain & Protect	Multi-stem from base. Past pruning. Epicormic growth
19	<i>Schefflera actinophylla</i> Umbrella Tree	10	5	150	150	150	350	3.12	2.13	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (21.5%) TPZ + (12%) SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Multi-stem from base

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
20	<i>Citrus limon</i> Lemon	5	3	50	50	50	150	2.00	1.50	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (16%) TPZ + (7%) SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Multi-stem from base
21	<i>Mangifera indica</i> Mango	5	5	50	50	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (6.75%) TPZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Multi-stem from base
22	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	7	6	150	100	50	300	2.24	2.00	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Past pruning
23	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Crepe Myrtle	8	6	100	100	100	400	2.08	2.25	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (5%) TPZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Multi-stem from base. Past pruning
24	<i>Dracaena marginata</i> Dragon Tree	7	3	300	250	100	450	2.50	N/A	M	Fair	Poor	Short 5-15yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Branch failure. Epicormic growth. Included branch unions
25	<i>Cupressus sp.</i> Cypress	10	3	300			400	3.60	2.25	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (17%) TPZ + 4% SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring Tree. Crown dieback
26	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i> Camphor Laurel	6	3	250			250	3.00	1.85	M	Fair	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (<1%) TPZ incursion from proposed path / wall	Retain & Protect	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
27	<i>Camellia sasanqua</i> Camellia	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Minor (7%) TPZ + (5.4%) SRZ incursion from proposed path / wall	Retain & Protect	Shrub <3m high
28	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	5	4	100	100		200	2.00	1.68	M	Good	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
29	<i>Euphorbia pulcherima</i> Poinsettia	4	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Shrub <5m high
30	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (10.35%) TPZ + (6.5%) SRZ incursion from proposed paving	Retain & Protect	90% defoliated. Shrub <3m high
31	<i>Plumeria rubra var. acutifolia</i> Frangipani	4	3	150	100	50	250	2.24	1.85	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
32	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed carpark	Remove	Shrub <3m high
33	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Loquat	5	4	50	50	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed carpark	Remove	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
34	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed carpark	Remove	Shrub <3m high
35	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed carpark	Remove	Shrub <3m high
36	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (34.25%) TPZ + (28.5%) SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Remove	Shrub <3m high
37	<i>Prunus sp.</i> Prunus	5	3	50	50	50	100	2.50	N/A	SM	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	No works proposed within TPZ	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring tree. Multi-stem from base
38	<i>Unknown species</i> -	4	2	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed driveway	Remove	Shrub <5m high
39	<i>Unknown species</i> -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (12.5%) TPZ + (3.5%) SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring shrub <3m high

Tree No.	Genus & species Common Name	Height (m)	Crown Spread (m)	DBH #1 (mm)	DBH #2 (mm)	DBH #3 (mm)	DGL (mm)	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Age Class	Health / Vitality	Structure/ Condition	SULE Rating	Landscape Significance	Retention Value	Development Impact	Retain / Remove	Comments
40	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (18%) TPZ + (7.5%) SRZ incursion from proposed driveway	Retain & Protect	Neighbouring shrub <3m high
41	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (30.25%) TPZ + (26.5%) SRZ incursion from proposed dwelling & driveway	Remove	Shrub <3m high
42	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed driveway	Remove	Shrub <3m high
43	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Shrub <3m high
44	Lagerstroemia indica Crepe Myrtle	6	3	100	100	50	200	2.00	1.68	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
45	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Shrub <3m high
46	Cinnamomum camphora Camphor Laurel	8	5	200	100	50	400	2.75	2.25	M	Average	Fair	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Within footprint of proposed dwelling	Remove	Exempt - proximity to dwelling
47	Unknown species -	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (60.5%) TPZ + (64%) SRZ incursion from proposed dwelling & paving	Remove	Lopped 0.5m above ground. Shrub <3m high
48	Callistemon viminalis Weeping Bottlebrush	3	1	50	50	50	100	2.00	1.50	SM	Average	Average	Medium 15-40yrs	Low	Low	Major (50%) TPZ + (40%) SRZ incursion from proposed paving and stairs	Remove	Shrub <3m high

Tree Inspection Data Notes & Terminology

Tree No. (Tree Number)
The tree number associated to each tree located on or adjacent to the subject site. Relates to the Tree Location Plan held at Appendix 2.

Botanical Name and Common Name
The botanical and common name of each tree is identified and recorded. Occasionally the exact species name is unknown; sp. is recorded to indicate this.

Height, Crown Width and DBH
- The trees height and crown spread is recorded in metres (m);
- The tree DBH is recorded in millimetres (mm). DBH is an abbreviation of Diameter (of the trunk) measured at Breast Height (or 1.4m from the base of the trunk). If more than one trunk is present the DBH is calculated in accordance with AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites

Age Class
The age class of each tree is estimated as either:
IM – Immature refers to well established but juvenile tree
SM – Semi Mature, a tree that has not grown to mature size
M – Mature, a tree that has reached mature size and will slowly increase in size over time
OM – Over Mature, a tree that has been mature for a long period and is beginning to display signs of decline, e.g. large dead branches
S – Senescent, an over mature tree that is now in decline

Health & Condition
The trees health and vigour is recorded as a measurement of:
Good - the tree does not appear to appear stressed with no excessive dieback, insect infestation, decay, deadwood or epicormic shoots
Average - the tree appears stressed and has some crown dieback, and /or a few epicormic shoots, and/or some deadwood in the crown and some new growth at branch tips. These trees may benefit from remediation of the growing environment to reduce stress and return it to good health
Fair - the tree may have areas of crown dieback, and/or epicormic shoots, and/or areas of decay, and/or reduced new growth at branch tips. These trees have been stressed for a short period of time, remediation of the growing environment may improve trees health
Poor - the tree may have large areas of crown dieback, and/or many epicormic shoots, and/or reduced new growth at branch tips. These trees have been stressed for a long period of time, remediation of the growing environment would not return the tree to good health.

SRZ (Structural Root Zone)
The SRZ is a radial area extending outwards from the centre of the trunk. This area contains the majority of the structural woody roots. This area is responsible primarily for stability. Root damage or root loss within this zone greatly increases the opportunity for decay fungi to ingress into the heartwood, causing internal decay in addition to destabilising the trees structural integrity. The SRZ is calculated as follows (This calculation is taken from the Australian Standard 4970 – 2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites): (D x 50)/0.42 x 0.64

TPZ (Tree Protection Zone)
The TPZ is a radial area measured by multiplying the DBH by twelve (12) or a circular area the size of the trees drip line, whichever is greater. This area contains the majority of the structural and feeder roots responsible for stability, gaseous exchange and water and nutrient uptake. Excavation, back filling, compaction or other disturbance should not occur in this area. The TPZ is used to identify the minimum area required for the safe retention of a given tree. This calculation is derived from the Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees in Development Sites. An incursion up to 10% within the TPZ is potentially acceptable if no other option is available. A major encroachment (in excess of 10%) is required to be clearly justified by the Project Arborist and compensated for elsewhere. Justification methodology may vary depending on site or individual tree's health, vigour and ability to withstand disturbance and may require root investigation.

Landscape Significance
The landscape significance of a tree or group of trees is determined using a combination of health/vigour/condition, amenity, heritage and ecological values in accordance with IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)© (IACA 2010)©.
1. High Significance in Landscape
2. Medium Significance in Landscape
3. Low Significance in Landscape

Retention Value (RV)
Determined by [1] tree free of visual defects and viable for retention, [2] viable for retention with minor faults which may reduce SULE, [3] trees which should not restrict development applications containing faults that are likely to become problematic in the short term, [4] trees to be considered for removal due

to average condition.

High Retention - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc. if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.

Medium Retention - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.

Low Retention - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

Priority for Removal - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.

S.U.L.E. Categories

Safe Useful Life Expectancy (after Barrell 1996, modified by the author). A trees S.U.L.E. category is the life expectancy of the tree modified first by its age, health, condition, safety and location. S.U.L.E. assessments may be modified as dictated by changes in trees health and environment.

Long - Appear retainable at the time of assessment for over 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.

Medium - Appear to be retainable at the time of assessment for 15 to 40 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.

Short - Trees appear to be retainable at the time of assessment for 5 to 15 years with an acceptable degree of risk assuming reasonable maintenance.

Very Short - Removal - Trees which should be scheduled for removal within the very short term or as specified within this report.

Small, Young or Regularly Pruned – Trees under 5m in height that can be easily moved or replaced, includes screen plantings or hedge lines.

Development Impact

Brief outline of the impact of the proposed development works or ancillary construction related activities likely to impact the tree.


Retain/Remove

The proposed removal or retention recommendation in light of the proposed development related impacts.

NOTES: This report acknowledges the current Australian Standards 'Protection of Trees on Development Sites' AS 4970 – 2009 with reference to the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ); being a combination of the root and crown area requiring protection. The TPZ takes into consideration the Structural Root Zone (SRZ); The area required for tree stability. Determined by AS4970 - 2009 Figure 1, Table of determining the SRZ, section 3.3.5 of the standards. The standard states where a greater than 10% encroachment occurs the arborist is to take into consideration the schedule of determining impacts as set within AS4970 s. 3.3.4. Encroachments are referred to within this report as major or minor encroachments (AS4970 s. 3.3.2 & 3.3.3). Below is the terminology used for estimated percentage of development incursion used within this report. To retain specific trees and ensure their viability, development must take into consideration protection of the TPZ radius. The extent of inclusion within the TPZ radius has been categorised within this report as follows:

- <10% - negligible incursion
- >10 - <15% - low to moderate level of incursion
- >15 - <20% - moderate level of incursion
- >20 - <25% - moderate to high level of incursion
- >25 - <35% - high level of incursion
- >35% - significant incursion within the TPZ

LEGEND



CREATIVE**PLANNING**SOLUTIONS
LEVEL 3
397 RILEY STREET
SURREY HILLS NSW 2010
PO BOX 1074 BROADWAY NSW 2007
TEL: + (61) 2 8039 7461
INFO@CPSPLANNING.COM.AU
CPSPLANNING.COM.AU

DIMENSIONS :
All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise noted. Do not scale from this drawing.

Verify all dimensions on site prior to construction.

CIVIL, STRUCTURAL, HYDRAULIC, ELECTRICAL AND SPECIALIST WATER FEATURE WORKS :
Refer to specialist and consultant's drawings for all information contained within these documents relating to and nominated as specialist and consultant work. Specialist and consultant drawing information contained in the landscape documents are indicative only and not for construction or certification purposes.

Issue	Code	Issue Description	By	Chk	Date
C	CA	UPDATED FOR REVIEW	NZ	GT	09.06.22
B	CA	UPDATED FOR REVIEW	NZ	GT	23.03.22
A	CA	FOR REVIEW	NZ	GT	16.02.22

PRE - Preliminary CA - Council Approval T - Tender CON - Construction

PROJECT

**PROPOSED
DEVELOPMENT**

26 ROSE CR &
69-73 BOURKE ST,
NORTH PARRAMATTA

DRAWING TITLE

TREE LOCATION PLAN

CLIENT



Drawn : NZ
Designed : GT
Project No. : E314

1:250 @ A3	
SHEET NUMBER	REVISION
E314 TLP 01	C

APPENDIX 3

IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)© (IACA 2010)©

In the development of this document IACA acknowledges the contribution and original concept of the Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix, developed by Footprint Green Pty Ltd in June 2001.

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria and Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. An example of its use in an Arboricultural report is shown as Appendix A.

Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria



1. High Significance in landscape

- The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Councils significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

2. Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

3. Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.

Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.





















Hazardous/Irreversible Decline

- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.


The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.


Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

Table 1.0 Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix.


		Significance				
		1. High	2. Medium	3. Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Estimated Life Expectancy	1. Long >40 years					
	2. Medium 15-40 Years					
	3. Short <1-15 Years					
	Dead					

Legend for Matrix Assessment







Priority for Retention (High) - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 *Protection of trees on development sites*. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.



Consider for Retention (Medium) - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.



Consider for Removal (Low) - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.



Priority for Removal - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.

USE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND REFERENCING

The IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) is free to use, but only in its entirety and must be cited as follows:

IACA, 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, www.iaca.org.au

REFERENCES

Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter – The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia

Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia.

Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, www.footprintgreen.com.au

IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, www.iaca.org.au

The following example shows the IACA **Significance** of a **Tree, Assessment Rating System** (STARS) used in an Arboricultural report.

Tree Significance

Determined by using the Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria of the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (STARS)© (IACA, 2010), Appendix B.

Trees 14, 16, 17/3, 19 and 20/4 are of high significance with the remaining majority of medium significance and a few of low significance. Tree 14 is significant as a prominent specimen and a food source for indigenous avian fauna. Tree 16 as a non-locally indigenous planting is of good form and prominent *in situ*; Tree 17/3 as a stand of 6 street trees along the Davey Street frontage screening views to and from the site and contiguous with trees in Victoria Park extending the aesthetic influence of the urban canopy to the site. Similarly for Trees 20/4 as street trees in Long Road and Tree 19 as an extant exotic planting as a senescent component of the original landscaping. The trees of low significance are recent plantings as fruit trees – Avocados, and 1 Cootamundra Wattle as a non-locally indigenous tree in irreversible decline and potentially structurally unsound.

Significance Scale

1 – High
2 – Medium
3 – Low

Significance Scale	1	2	3
Tree No. / Stand No.	14, 16, 17/3, 19, 20/4	1/1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12/2, 15, 18, 21/5	3, 13, 22

Tree Retention Value

Determined by using the Retention Value - Priority Matrix of the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (STARS)© (IACA, 2010), Appendix B.

Retention Value

High – Priority for Retention
Medium – Consider for Retention
Low – Consider for Removal
Remove - Priority for Removal

Retention Value	High Priority for Retention	Medium Consider for Retention	Low Consider for Removal	Remove Priority for Removal
Tree No. / Stand No.	1/1, 5, 17/3*, 19	2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20/4*, 21/5	3, 12/2, 13,	22

* Trees located within the neighbouring property and should be retained and protected.

APPENDIX 4 - EXTRACT FROM AS4970 2009 PROTECTION OF TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

Section 3, Determining the tree protection zones of the selected trees

3.1 Tree protection zone (TPZ)

"The tree protection zone (TPZ) is the principal means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable.

The TPZ incorporates the structural root zone (SRZ) (refer to Clause 3.3.5)."

3.2 Determining the TPZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12.

$$\text{TPZ} = \text{DBH} \times 12$$

where

DBH = trunk diameter measured at 1.4 m above ground

Radius is measured from the centre of the stem at ground level.

3.3.5 Structural root zone (SRZ)

"The SRZ is the area required for street stability. A larger area is required to maintain a viable tree. The SRZ only needs to be calculated when a major encroachment into a TPZ is proposed. Root investigation may provide more information on the extent of these roots."

Determining the SRZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH x 12.

$$\text{SRZ radius} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

where

D = trunk diameter, in metres, measured above the root buttress.

Note: The SRZ for trees with trunk diameters less than 0.15 m will be 1.5 m (see Figure 1).


The curve can be expressed by the following formula:

$$R_{\text{SRZ}} = (D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$$

NOTES:

- 1 R_{SRZ} is the structural root zone radius.
- 2 D is the stem diameter measured immediately above root buttress.
- 3 The SRZ for trees less than 0.15 m diameter is 1.5 m.
- 4 The SRZ formula and graph do not apply to palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns.
- 5 This does not apply to trees with an asymmetrical root plate.

FIGURE 1 STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONE



APPENDIX 5 – GENERAL TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

1.0 Appointment of Project Arborist

A Project Arborist shall be engaged prior the commencement of work on-site and monitor compliance with the protection measures. The Project Arborist shall inspect the tree protection measures and Compliance Certification shall be prepared by the Project Arborist for review by the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the release of the Compliance Certificate.

The Project Arborist shall have a minimum qualification equivalent (using the Australian Qualifications Framework) of Level 5 or above in Arboriculture.

2.0 Compliance

Contractors and site workers shall receive a copy of these specifications a minimum of 3 working days prior to commencing work on-site. Contractors and site workers undertaking works within the Tree Protection Zone shall sign the site log confirming they have read and understand these specifications, prior to undertaking works on-site.

The Project Arborist shall undertake regular site inspections and certify that the works are being undertaken in accordance with this specification.

Compliance Documentation shall be prepared by the Project Arborist following each site inspection. The Compliance Documentation shall include documentary evidence of compliance with the tree protection measures and methods as outlined within this Specification. Upon the completion of the works, a final assessment of the trees shall be undertaken by the Project Arborist and future recommended management strategies implemented as required.

3.0 Tree Removal

The trees to be removed shall be removed prior to the establishment of the tree protection measures. Tree removal works shall be undertaken in accordance with the *Workcover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998)*. Tree and vegetation removal shall not damage the trees to be retained.

4.0 Tree Protection Zone

The trees to be retained shall be protected prior and during construction from activities that may result in an adverse effect on their health or structural condition. The area within the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) shall exclude the following activities, unless otherwise stated:-

- Modification of existing soil levels, excavations and trenching
- Mechanical removal of vegetation
- Movement of natural rock
- Storage of materials, plant or equipment or erection of site sheds
- Affixing of signage or hoarding to the trees
- Preparation of building materials, refuelling or disposal of waste materials and chemicals
- Lighting fires
- Movement of pedestrian or vehicular traffic
- Temporary or permanent location of services, or the works required for their installation
- Any other activities that may cause damage to the tree

5.0 Tree Protection Fencing

TPZ fencing shall be located at the perimeter of the TPZ. Where TPZ areas overlap, TPZ fencing may be combined to form a single larger TPZ area. The exact location of the fencing shall be confirmed through consultation between the Head Contractor/Project Manager and the Project Arborist prior to the commencement of works. Fencing may be setback to allow for demolition/construction access and for the installation of pavements only where appropriate ground protection is installed and approved by the Project Arborist.

As a minimum, the Tree Protection Fence shall consist of 1.8m high wire mesh panels supported by concrete feet. Panels shall be fastened together and supported to prevent sideways movement. The tree shall not be damaged during the installation of the Tree Protection Fencing. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

6.0 Site Management

Materials, waste storage, and temporary services shall not be located within the TPZ.

7.0 Scaffolding

Where possible, scaffolding shall not be located within the TPZ. Scaffolding shall not be in contact with the tree. As necessary, this shall be achieved by erecting scaffolding around branches. Branches shall be tied back and protected as deemed necessary by the Project Arborist. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

8.0 Works within the Tree Protection Zones

In some cases works within the TPZ may be authorized by the determining authority. These works shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. When undertaking works within the TPZ, care should be taken to avoid damage to the tree's root system, trunks and lower branches.

If roots (>25mm \varnothing) are encountered during the demolition, excavation and construction works, these roots must be retained in an undamaged condition and advice sought from the Project Arborist. Adjustment of final levels and design shall remain flexible to enable the retention of roots (>25mm \varnothing) where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist.

Drilling/piling machinery shall be of a suitable size to not damage the tree's roots, trunk, branches and crown. No clearance pruning is permitted to allow for machinery access. Machinery shall work in conjunction with an observer to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times.

9.0 Ground Protection

Where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist, machinery movements shall be restricted to areas of existing pavement or from areas of temporary ground protection such as ground mats or steel road plates. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

10.0 Trunk Protection

Where required by the Project Arborist, trunk protection shall be installed. Trunk protection shall be installed by wrapping padding (either carpet underlay or 10mm thick jute geotextile mat) around the trunk and first order branches to a minimum height of 2m. Timber battens (90 x 45mm) spaced at 150mm centres shall be strapped together and placed over the padding. Timber battens must not be fixed to the trees. Refer to Typical Tree Protection Details (**Appendix 6**).

11.0 Structure & Pavement Demolition

Demolition of existing structures/pavement within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. Machinery is to be excluded from the TPZ unless operating from the existing slabs, pavements or areas of ground protection (refer to Section 9.0). Machinery should not contact the tree's roots, trunk, branches and crown.

The existing pavement shall be carefully lifted to minimise damage to the underlying soil profile (or sub-base materials) and to prevent damage to tree roots. Wherever possible, existing sub-base materials shall remain in-situ.

When removing slab sections within TPZ, machinery shall work backwards out of the TPZ to ensure machinery remains on un-demolished sections of slab at all times. Wherever possible, footings or elements below grade shall be retained to minimise disturbance to the tree's roots.

Where deemed necessary by the Project Arborist, the structures shall be shattered prior to removal with a hand-operated pneumatic/electric breaker.

If roots (>25mmØ) are encountered during the demolition works, these roots must be retained in an undamaged condition and advice sought from the Project Arborist. Where the Project Arborist determines that the tree is using underground elements (i.e footings, pipes, rocks etc.) for support, these elements shall be left in-situ.

12.0 Underground Services

Underground service installation within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist.

The installation of underground services shall be located outside of the TPZ. Where this is not possible, they shall be installed using either hydrovac or hand excavation methods with the services installed around/below roots (>25mmØ, or as determined by the Project Arborist).

Alternatively, boring methods may be used for underground service installation where the installation depth is greater than 800mm below existing grade. Excavations for starting and receiving pits for boring equipment shall be located outside of the TPZ or located to avoid roots (>25mmØ, or as determined by the Project Arborist).

13.0 Excavations, Root Protection & Root Pruning

Excavations and root pruning within the TPZ shall be supervised by the Project Arborist. Excavations within the TPZ shall be avoided wherever possible.

Excavations within the TPZ shall be undertaken by hand or using hydro vacuum excavation methods (or similar approved device) to protect tree roots. If there is any delay between excavation works and backfilling, exposed roots shall be protected from direct sunlight, drying out and extremes of temperature by covering with a 10mm thick jute mat. The mat shall be kept in a damp condition at all times.

Hand excavation and root pruning shall be undertaken along the excavation line prior to the commencement of mechanical excavation to prevent tearing and shattering damage to the roots from excavation equipment. Roots (>25mmØ) shall be pruned by the Project Arborist only. Roots (<25mmØ) may be pruned by the Principal Contractor. Root pruning shall be undertaken with clean, sharp secateurs or a pruning saw to ensure a smooth wound face, free from tears.

No over-excavation, battering or benching shall be undertaken beyond the footprint of any structure unless approved by the Project Arborist.

Damaged roots shall be pruned behind the damaged tissues with the final cut made to an undamaged part of the root.

APPENDIX 6 - TYPICAL TREE PROTECTION DETAILS

